

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/990,714	11/21/2001	Anthony C. Ross	Ross-10	3910
75	90 06/12/2003			
Loren G. Helmreich BROWNING BUSHMAN Suite 1800			EXAMINER	
			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
5718 Westheimer Houston, TX 77057		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, 1A /	7037		1711	C1
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/990,714	ROSS ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials are the proposed of the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee he fee. The appropriate extension fee under high the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	iterially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\square$ will not be entered or b) $\boxtimes$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	··				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejection of claims 1 and 11 under 35 USC 112, 1 st paragraph (see item 5, paper 6)...

Continuation of 5. Contrary to what the applicants state, Mannschedel teaches in col. 3, lines 55-59 that the composition can be applied with a syringe which means that the said composition is injectable..

Jumes Serdierk Supervisory Vetar/ Suppose

Technology Carrier 1757